The Optimal Design of a School System

Ludger Woessmann

University of Munich and Ifo Institute

Italian Growth Commission

Bocconi University, Milan, 25 January 2013



1. Motivation

a) Educational achievement and economic growthb) The role of spending levels

2. The governance of the school system

3. The financing of the school system

Educational Achievement and Economic Growth



achievement test scores. Source: Hanushek and Woessmann (JEL 2008).

Trends in Growth Rates vs. Trends in Test Scores



Scatter plot of trend in the growth rate of GDP per capita from 1975 to 2000 against trend in test scores. Source: Hanushek and Woessmann (JEGro 2012).

Education and Long-run Prosperity

- Research on determinants of modern economic growth
 - Key: direct measures of cognitive skills
 - Hanushek and Woessmann (JEL 2008; EcoPol 2011; JEGro 2012)
 - → Focus on educational **outcomes**, not just attainment
- Importance of education also for historical development
 - Catch-up in the Industrial Revolution
 - Becker and Woessmann (QJE 2009); Becker, Hornung and Woessmann (AEJ:Macro 2011)
- The **cost** of low educational achievement:
 - Use available estimates of their growth impact to simulate how future GDPs would evolve under school reforms
 - Gains from improving skills: present value of long-run aggregate gains

Italy's Achievement on the PISA 2009 Math Test



Source: OECD (2010).

The Cost of Low Educational Achievement in the EU

Gains from bringing each nation's educational achievement to the Finnish level, billion Euro:



Discounted value of future increases in GDP until 2090, expressed in billion Euro (PPP). Source: Hanushek and Woessmann (CESifoEStud 2012).

What Is the Link between Resources and Outcomes?

Spending and math achievement of EU countries in PISA 2009:



Own depiction based on PISA 2009 data. Regression line of best fit (without three outliers).

What Is the Link between Resources and Outcomes?

Class size and math achievement of EU countries in PISA 2009:



Own depiction based on PISA 2009 data. Regression line of best fit (without three outliers).

What Is the Link between Resources and Outcomes?

Class size

 Hanushek and Woessmann (HbEEdu 2011); Woessmann and West (EurER 2006); Woessmann (EcoPol 2005); Gundlach, Gmelin and Woessmann (EJ 2001)

→Need to focus on **teacher quality**

Incentives and Institutional Reforms

Incentives

- Best way to use investments efficiently and effectively is to ensure that everyone in the system has incentives to focus on improving student outcomes
- →Institutional framework: provides the incentive schemes that create better student outcomes
 - Autonomy
 - Accountability
 - Choice and competition

Effect of School Autonomy by Income Level

Effect of autonomy on PISA test score



External Exams, Autonomy and Student Outcomes



Source: Woessmann (2005); see also Hanushek, Link and Woessmann (JDevE 2013).

Governance of School System: Autonomy

- Autonomy effect heterogeneous by **development** level:
 - Conducive in school systems that already have surrounding conditions to ensure high performance
 - Detrimental in low-performing systems that lack basic standards
- Different areas of decision-making:
 - Operational decisions like **personnel** (where standardization not crucial) more appropriately made locally than decision on content **standards**
- Countervailing effects:
 - Better understanding of local decision-makers permits them to improve schools' productivity

↔ Divergent interests and asymmetric information: possible to pursue goals other than achievement, conflict with maintaining common standards

Governance of School System: Accountability

- Central exams provide information:
 - Ease monitoring problems inherent in education systems
 - Align local incentives with goals of system
- Other accountability measures:
 - Aimed primarily at students: use of assessments for decisions on student promotion and retention
 - Aimed at teachers: internal and external monitoring of teacher lessons by principals and inspectors
 - Aimed at schools: assessments used to compare schools to district or national performance
- School management and parental choice:
 - Public vs. non-public management (≠ autonomy)
 - Parental choice can create competition, hold accountable

Funding, Operation and Student Outcomes



Source: Woessmann et al. (2009); see also West and Woessmann (EJ 2010).

Funding and Equity of Student Outcomes



Financing of School System

- Financing *≠* operation:
 - Public funding crucial for quality and equity
 - Does not imply public operation
- Voucher-type financing:
 - Funding follows students
 - Creates choice, in particular for poor families
 - Funding can differ by student characteristics
- Admission mechanisms:
 - Avoid cream skimming: lotteries when oversubscription
- Experiences from around the world:
 - The Netherlands
 - Colombia, Chile, Sweden; India (see Bettinger, HbEEdu 2011)
 - U.S. states: Milwaukee, Cleveland, Washington DC; charters

The Optimal Design of a School System

- 1. Improved educational achievement crucial for growth
- 2. Higher spending alone does not ensure better outcomes
 Need to focus on teacher quality
- 3. The governance of the school system
 - Accountability for outcomes crucial in autonomous system
 - Output-oriented reforms: State ensures accountability and funding for inclusive education and uses choice and competition among autonomous schools to deliver best results
- 4. The financing of the school system
 - Public funding crucial for equity (and quality)
 - Does not mean public operation
 - Voucher-type financing (funding follows students) enables choice for all families
 - Avoid cream skimming in admissions