

**Bocconi**

**PHD  
TEACHING EVALUATION**

a.y. 2023-2024

## How to read the report

The report summarizes the results of the student opinion surveys on the courses taught in the PhD's programs offered by the School, with reference to the 2023-2024 edition of the a.y. programs.

At the end of each course, the students are required to fill in a questionnaire.

In addition to comparing the percentages of respondents for the year being reported with the previous academic year (Part 1, p.1), the following statistical analyses give the results of the evaluations in both tabular (breakdown of how the different items were responded to) and in graphical form, showing the average values assigned to the various aspects (Part 2, p.2-7).

Furthermore, there are frameworks of average evaluations by PhD students and a comparison with the average of the PhD School (Part 3, p.6-10).

### *List of Acronyms*

<b>BAM:</b>	<i>Business Administration and Management</i>
<b>EcFin:</b>	<i>Economics and Finance</i>
<b>SPS:</b>	<i>Social and Political Science</i>
<b>Stat-CS:</b>	<i>Statistics and Computer Science</i>
<b>LS:</b>	<i>Legal Studies</i>


## Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

N° Questionari elaborati: 994

### 1. Respondents by PhD last 2 editions of the survey

Program	Participants	Questionnaires processed 2023-2024	Redemption rates <sup>1</sup>		
			% Respondents 2023-2024	% Respondents 2022-2023	% Respondents 23-24 vs 22-23
BA&M	146	138	94,5	99,5	-5,0%
ECFIN	584	507	86,8	84,1	+3,2%
LS	53	51	96,2	89,7	+7,3%
SPS	139	134	96,4	97,5	-1,1%
STAT-CS	176	164	93,2	94,9	-1,8%
<b>TOTALE</b>	<b>1098</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>90,5</b>	<b>91,4</b>	<b>-0,9%</b>

Redemption rates per PhD (comparison last 2 editions)




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

2. Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10		a.v.	%			
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%					
1	Topics are interesting.	10	1,0	44	4,6	217	22,6	691	71,8	962	100,0	32	8,89	8,69
2	Course objectives and programme are consistent with the way the course was carried out.	20	2,1	50	5,2	238	24,7	654	68,0	962	100,0	32	8,69	8,57
3	The course is carried out consistently with the defined objectives and features.	12	1,2	37	3,8	206	21,4	707	73,5	962	100,0	32	8,94	8,78
4	On the whole I am satisfied about the course.	12	1,2	29	3,0	262	27,2	659	68,5	962	100,0	32	8,85	8,44

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2023-2024




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

2. Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

Item	Answers	Strongly 1-2		Disagree 3-4-5		Agree 6-7-8		Strongly 9-10		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
		5	The lecturer is clear and effective in explanations.	20	1,6	42	3,3	277	21,7	939	73,5			
6	The lecturer is able to interact with students.	10	0,8	17	1,4	190	15,7	996	82,1	1213	100,0	68	9,26	9,03
7	The lecturer stimulates interest in the course subject.	17	1,3	41	3,2	314	24,5	908	70,9	1280	100,0	1	8,89	8,78
8	Teaching aids (lecture notes, slides, articles, etc.) are adequate.	8	0,6	33	2,6	263	20,6	975	76,2	1279	100,0	2	9,14	8,66


Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y. 2023-2024



## Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

### 2. Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents



Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
9	Lectures are well organized (contents, program structure, time-table, coherence between lectures and practice).	11	0,9	34	2,7	288	22,5	948	74,0	1281	100,0	0	9,03	8,82
10	Office hours are adequate (it is easy to fix an appointment).	12	0,9	45	3,5	286	22,3	938	73,2	1281	100,0	0	8,95	9,15



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Business Administration & Management





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Economics & Finance





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Legal Studies





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Social and Political Science





Note: The averages per PhD for items 9 and 10 are shown only if at least 3 valid judgments were made, referring to the same PhD.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Statistics and Computer Science



Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.