

TEACHING EVALUATION SURVEY PHD COURSES

“Overall results report
Academic year 2021-2022”

Evaluation Office

April 2023




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022


General information about students

Years of study:

	Respondents		Total enrolled a.v.	% respondents over enrolled
	a.v.	%		
1 st	48	39,3	51	94,1
2 nd	41	33,6	51	80,4
3 rd	21	17,2	42	50,0
4 th	12	9,8	21	57,1
TOTAL	122	100,0	165	73,9




Respondents by course year (as % of those enrolled in active cycles): last 2 editions of the survey




Doctoral program attended:

	a.v.	%	% respondents over enrolled
Economics & Finance (Ec-Fin)	41	33,6	73,2
Business Administration & Management (BA&M)	32	26,2	88,9
Statistics & Computer Science (Stat-CS)	13	10,7	56,5
Social Political Science (SPS)	20	16,4	87,0
Legal Studies (LS)	16	13,1	59,3
TOTAL	122	100,0	73,9



Respondents by PhD (in % of those enrolled in active cycles): last 2 editions of the survey




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022


General information about students (continue)

University of origin:

	a.v.	% 21-22	% 20-21
Bocconi	25	20,5	18,9
Other Italian University	31	25,4	28,7
Foreign University	66	54,1	52,5
TOTAL	122	100,0	100,0




Distribution of Respondents by PhD and by University of origin




Nationality:

	v.a.	% 21-22	% 20-21
Italian	56	45,9	50,0
Non-Italian	66	54,1	50,0
TOTAL	122	100,0	100,0



Distribution of Respondents by PhD and by Nationality




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

A. Logistical and organizational aspects

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 21-22	Average 20-21
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10		a.v.	%			
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%					
1	The overall organisation of the teaching activities that took place during the year (in terms of logistics, timetable and lesson/semester calendar, etc.) was efficient and consistent with students' requirements.	4	3,3	18	14,8	57	46,7	43	35,2	122	100,0	0	7,46	7,98
2	The operational structures and equipment provided (library, PCs, study space, etc.) were compatible with the requirements of the course.	8	6,6	36	29,5	45	36,9	33	27,0	122	100,0	0	6,52	7,30
3	CADES (Centro Amministrativo Dottorati ed Esami di Stato) personnel were sufficiently polite, helpful (also in terms of opening hours) and efficient in carrying out.	3	2,5	20	16,4	54	44,3	45	36,9	122	100,0	0	7,53	8,07
4	The personnel of the PhD School Secretariat were sufficiently polite, helpful (also in terms of opening hours) and efficient in carrying out their tasks and in listening.	4	3,3	6	4,9	41	33,6	71	58,2	122	100,0	0	8,36	8,35

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2021-22




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. General course elements

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 21-22	Average 20-21
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10		a.v.	%			
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%					
5	On the whole I am satisfied with teaching/research activities that took place during the year (with regard to the objectives and contents explained at the beginning.	3	2,5	20	16,4	55	45,1	44	36,1	122	100,0	0	7,46	7,98
6	On the whole I am satisfied with the sequence of subjects covered by courses during the year and with their overall co-ordination.	5	4,1	25	20,5	54	44,3	38	31,1	122	100,0	0	7,16	7,64
7	The overall workload for the year was well-balanced and realistic.	1	0,8	22	18,0	55	45,1	44	36,1	122	100,0	0	7,52	7,54
8	On the whole I am satisfied with the assistance and support received during the year from my professor(s)/tutor(s).	1	0,8	10	8,2	44	36,1	67	54,9	122	100,0	0	8,34	8,43

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2021-22



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. (Continued) Study abroad period (section reserved for those who have had the opportunity to have an experience abroad)

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 21-22	Average 20-21
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
9	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) On the whole I am satisfied with this experience and with its possible impact on my professional future.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) I found the organization of such stay satisfactory.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-


C. Doctoral Theses (section reserved for doctoral students in the last 2 years of the program)

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 21-22	Average 20-21
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
13	On the whole I am satisfied with my PhD thesis experience and with the assistance and support I received for its accomplishment.	0	0,0	5	15,2	13	39,4	15	45,5	33	100,0	0	7,88	7,93

D. Overall evaluation



Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 21-22	Average 20-21
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
14	On the whole I am satisfied with the year of PhD activity.	3	2,5	13	10,7	69	56,6	37	30,3	122	100,0	0	7,48	7,94

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2021-22





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9,10 e 13 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022
Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD
PhD in Economics & Finance



Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022
Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD
PhD in Business Administration & Management





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022



Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Statistics and Computer Science





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022
Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD
PhD in Social and Political Science



Note: The averages per PhD for items 9 and 10 are shown only if at least 3 valid judgments were made, referring to the same PhD.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2021-2022
Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD
PhD in Legal Studies



Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.