unibocconi.it

TEACHING EVALUATION

SURVEY PHD PROGRAMS

“Overall results report

Academic year 2022-2023”

Evaluation Office

February 2024

Università Bocconi
MILANO

Via Sarfatti 25 | 20136 Milano – Italia | Tel. 025836.1

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

General information about students

Years of study:

	Respondents		Total enrolled	% respondents over enrolled
	a.v.	%	a.v.	
1st	46	30,3	47	97,9
2nd	43	28,3	49	87,8
3rd	36	23,7	51	70,6
4th	27	17,8	32	84,4
TOTAL	152	100,0	179	84,9



Respondents by course year (as % of those enrolled in active cycles): last 2 editions of the survey



Doctoral program attended:

	a.v.	%	% respondents over enrolled
Economics & Finance (Ec-Fin)	54	35,5	84,4
Business Administration & Management (BA&M)	34	22,4	85,0
Statistics & Computer Science (Stat-CS)	22	14,5	84,6
Social Political Science (SPS)	21	13,8	95,5
Legal Studies (LS)	21	13,8	77,8
TOTAL	152	100,0	84,9



Respondents by PhD (in % of those enrolled in active cycles): last 2 editions of the survey



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

General information about students (continue)

University of origin:

	a.v.	% 22-23	% 21-22
Bocconi	29	19,1	20,5
Other Italian University	44	28,9	25,4
Foreign University	79	52,0	54,1
TOTAL	152	100,0	100,0





Nationality:

	v.a.	% 21-22	% 21-22
Italian	71	46,7	45,9
Non-Italian	81	53,3	54,1
TOTAL	152	100,0	100,0





Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

A. Logistical and organizational aspects

	Item / Answers	Strongly disagree 1-2 a.v.	%	Disagree 3-4-5 a.v.	%	Agree 6-7-8 a.v.	%	Strongly agree 9-10 a.v.	%	Total a.v.	%	N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
1	The overall organisation of the teaching activities that took place during the year (in terms of logistics, timetable and lesson/semester calendar, etc.) was efficient and consistent with students' requirements.	13	**9,4**	13	**9,4**	65	**46,8**	48	**34,5**	139	**100,0**	13	7,29	7,46
2	The operational structures and equipment provided (library, PCs, study space, etc.) were compatible with the requirements of the course.	9	**6,3**	20	**13,9**	59	**41,0**	56	**38,9**	144	**100,0**	8	7,32	6,52
3	PhD&STATE EXAMS Administrative Center personnel were sufficiently polite, helpful (also in terms of opening hours) and eficient in carrying out.	0	**0,0**	15	**11,3**	50	**37,6**	68	**51,1**	133	**100,0**	19	8,16	7,53
4	The personnel of the PhD School Secretariat were sufficiently polite, helpful (also in terms of opening hours) and efficient in carrying out their tasks and in listening.	1	**0,7**	14	**9,7**	35	**24,3**	94	**65,3**	144	**100,0**	8	8,57	8,36



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. General course elements

	Item / Answers	Strongly disagree 1-2		Disagree 3-4-5		Agree 6-7-8		Strongly agree 9-10		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
5	On the whole I am satisfied with teaching/research activities that took place during the year (with regard to the objectives and contents explained at the beginning).	8	**5,6**	16	**11,1**	68	**47,2**	52	**36,1**	144	**100,0**	8	7,47	7,46
6	On the whole I am satisfied with the sequence of subjects covered by courses during the year and with their overall co-ordination.	6	**4,3**	22	**15,6**	72	**51,1**	41	**29,1**	141	**100,0**	11	7,14	7,16
7	The overall workload for the year was well-balanced and realistic.	6	**4,2**	18	**12,5**	77	**53,5**	43	**29,9**	144	**100,0**	8	7,39	7,52
8	On the whole I am satisfied with the assistance and support received during the year from my professor(s)/tutor(s).	4	**2,8**	11	**7,6**	53	**36,6**	77	**53,1**	145	**100,0**	7	8,21	8,34

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y. 2022-2023



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. (Continued) **Study abroad period** (section reserved for those who have had the opportunity to have an experience abroad)

Item		Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
	Answers	1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
9	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) On the whole I am satisfied with this experience and with its possible impact on my professional future.	1	**5,9**	3	**17,6**	2	**11,8**	11	**64,7**	17	**100,0**	-	7,88	-
10	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) I found the organization of such stay satisfactory.	3	**17,6**	3	**17,6**	2	**11,8**	9	**52,9**	17	**100,0**	-	7,12	-

C. **Doctoral Theses** (section reserved for doctoral students in the last 2 years of the program)

Item		Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
	Answers	1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
13	On the whole I am satisfied with my PhD thesis experience and with the assistance and support I received for its accomplishment.	2	**3,3**	7	**11,7**	26	**43,3**	25	**41,7**	60	**100,0**	0	7,57	7,88

Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9,10 e 13 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

D. Overall evaluation

Item		Answers	Strongly disagree 1-2		Disagree 3-4-5		Agree 6-7-8		Strongly agree 9-10		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
			a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
14	On the whole I am satisfied with the year of PhD activity.		6	**4,1**	21	**14,5**	71	**49,0**	47	**32,4**	145	**100,0**	0	7,43	7,48



Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9,10 e 13 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Economics & Finance





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Business Administration & Management





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Statistics and Computer Science





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Social and Political Science





Note: The averages per PhD for items 9 and 10 are shown only if at least 3 valid judgments were made, referring to the same PhD.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023
Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD
PhD in Legal Studies





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.