

**Bocconi**

**PHD  
TEACHING EVALUATION**

a.y. 2022-2023



**Università  
Bocconi**  
MILANO

QA & Accreditation  
March 2024

## How to read the report

The report summarizes the results of the student opinion surveys on the courses taught in the PhD's programs offered by the School, with reference to the 2022-2023 edition of the a.y. programs.

At the end of each course, the students will be required to fill in a questionnaire.

In addition to comparing the percentages of respondents for the year being reported with the previous academic year (Part 1, p.1), the following statistical analyses give the results of the evaluations in both tabular (breakdown of † Breakdown of how the different items were responded to) and in graphical form, showing the average values assigned to the various aspects (Part 2, p.2-7).

Furthermore, there are frameworks of average evaluations by PhD students and a comparison with the average of the PhD School (Part 3, p.6-10).

### *List of Acronyms*

<b>BAM:</b>	<i>Business Administration and Management</i>
<b>EcFin:</b>	<i>Economics and Finance</i>
<b>SPS:</b>	<i>Social and Political Science</i>
<b>StatCS:</b>	<i>Statistics and Computer Science</i>
<b>LS:</b>	<i>Legal Studies</i>


## Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

N° Questionari elaborati: 945

### 1. Respondents by PhD last 2 editions of the survey

Program	Participants	Questionnaires processed 2022-2023	Redemption rates <sup>□</sup>		
			% Respondents 2022-2023	% Respondents 2021-2022	% Respondents 22-23 vs 21-22
BA&M	192	191	99,5	92,0	+8,2%
ECFIN	441	371	84,1	98,2	-14,3%
LS	29	26	89,7	85,7	+4,6%
SPS	158	154	97,5	100,0	-2,5%
STAT-CS	214	203	94,9	98,3	-3,5%
<b>TOTALE</b>	<b>1034</b>	<b>945</b>	<b>91,4</b>	<b>97,9</b>	<b>-6,6%</b>

#### Redemption rates per PhD (comparison last 2 editions)




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

2. Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
1 Topics are interesting.		10	1,1	42	4,5	277	29,4	612	65,0	941	100,0	4	8,69	8,69
2 Reading material is adequate and relevant.		18	1,9	47	5,0	285	30,3	591	62,8	941	100,0	4	8,57	8,54
3 The course is carried out consistently with the defined objectives and features.		9	1,0	40	4,3	247	26,2	645	68,5	941	100,0	4	8,78	8,82
4 On the whole I am satisfied about the course.		18	1,9	66	7,0	285	30,3	572	60,8	941	100,0	4	8,44	8,44

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2022-2023




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

2. Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
5	The lecturer is clear and effective in explanations.	12	1,0	61	4,9	310	25,1	850	68,9	1233	100,0	0	8,79	8,81
6	The lecturer is able to interact with students.	10	0,8	44	3,6	240	19,5	939	76,2	1233	100,0	0	9,03	9,00
7	The lecturer stimulates interest in the course subject.	21	1,7	55	4,5	310	25,1	847	68,7	1233	100,0	0	8,78	8,76
8	Teaching aids (lecture notes, slides, articles, etc.) are adequate.	27	2,2	72	5,8	296	24,0	838	68,0	1233	100,0	0	8,66	8,68

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y. 2022-2023




## Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

### 2. Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 22-23	Average 21-22
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
9	Lectures are well organized (contents, program structure, time-table, coherence between lectures and practice).	15	1,2	51	4,1	304	24,7	863	70,0	1233	100,0	0	8,82	8,78
10	Office hours are adequate (it is easy to fix an appointment).	3	0,2	32	2,6	244	19,8	954	77,4	1233	100,0	0	9,15	9,30



Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2022-2023



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Business Administration & Management





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Economics & Finance





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Legal Studies





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Social and Political Science





Note: The averages per PhD for items 9 and 10 are shown only if at least 3 valid judgments were made, referring to the same PhD.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2022-2023

3. Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Statistics and Computer Science



Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.